

**VICH GL11 (IMPURITIES NEW VMPs)**  
**November 1999**  
**For implementation at Step 7**

# **IMPURITIES IN NEW VETERINARY MEDICINAL PRODUCTS**

---

Recommended for Implementation  
at Step 7 of the VICH Process  
on 16 November 1999  
by the VICH Steering Committee

THIS GUIDELINE HAS BEEN DEVELOPED BY THE APPROPRIATE VICH EXPERT WORKING GROUP AND HAS BEEN SUBJECT TO CONSULTATION BY THE PARTIES, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE VICH PROCESS. AT STEP 7 OF THE PROCESS THE FINAL DRAFT IS RECOMMENDED FOR ADOPTION TO THE REGULATORY BODIES OF THE EUROPEAN UNION, JAPAN AND USA.

# IMPURITIES IN NEW VETERINARY MEDICINAL PRODUCTS

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 <i>Objective of the Guideline .....</i>	3
1.2 <i>Background .....</i>	3
1.3 <i>Scope of the Guideline .....</i>	3
<b>2. GUIDELINES .....</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1 <i>Analytical Procedures.....</i>	3
2.2 <i>Rationale for the Reporting and Control of Impurities .....</i>	3
2.3 <i>Reporting Impurity Content of Batches.....</i>	4
2.4 <i>Specification Limits for Impurities .....</i>	4
2.5 <i>Qualification of Impurities .....</i>	5
2.6 <i>New Impurities.....</i>	5
<b>3. GLOSSARY .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>10. ATTACHMENT I: SUMMARY OF THE DIFFERENT THRESHOLDS .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>11. ATTACHMENT II: DECISION TREE FOR SAFETY STUDIES .....</b>	<b>8</b>

# 1. INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Objective of the Guideline

This guideline provides guidance recommendations for applications for marketing authorisation on the content and qualification of impurities in new veterinary medicinal products produced from chemically synthesised new active substances not previously registered in a member state. Alternative approaches may be used if such approaches satisfy the requirements of applicable statutes, regulations, or both.

## 1.2 Background

This Guideline is an annex to the Guideline for Impurities in New Veterinary Drug Substances which should be consulted for basic principles.

## 1.3 Scope of the Guideline

This Guideline addresses only those impurities in veterinary medicinal products classified as degradation products of the active substance or reaction products of the active substance with an excipient and/or immediate container/closure system (collectively referred to as "degradation products" in this Guideline). Impurities arising from excipients present in the product are not covered by this Guideline. This Guideline also does not address the regulation of products used during the clinical research stages of development. Biological/ biotechnological products, peptides, oligonucleotides, radiopharmaceuticals, fermentation and semi-synthetic products derived therefrom, herbal products, and crude products of animal or plant origin are not covered. Also excluded from this Guideline are: extraneous contaminants which should not occur in medicinal products and are more appropriately addressed as good manufacturing practice issues, polymorphic form, a solid state property of the new active substance, and enantiomeric impurities. Impurities present in the new active substance need not be monitored in medicinal products unless they are also degradation products.

# 2. GUIDELINES

## 2.1 Analytical Procedures

The application for a marketing authorisation should include documented evidence that the analytical procedures have been validated and suitable for the detection and quantitation of degradation products. Analytical methods should be validated to demonstrate that impurities unique to the new active substance do not interfere with or are separated from specified and unspecified degradation products in the product.

Degradation product levels can be measured by a variety of techniques, including those which compare an analytical response for a degradation product to that of an appropriate reference standard or to the response of the new active substance itself. Reference standards used in the analytical procedures for control of degradation products should be evaluated and characterised according to their intended uses. The active substance may be used to estimate the levels of degradation products. In cases where the response factors are not close, this practice may still be used if a correction factor is applied or the degradation products are, in fact, being overestimated.

Specifications and analytical procedures used to estimate identified or unidentified degradation products are often based on analytical assumptions (e.g., equivalent detector response). These assumptions should be discussed in the application for marketing authorisation. Differences in the analytical procedures used during development and those proposed for the commercial product should be discussed.

## 2.2 Rationale for the Reporting and Control of Impurities

The applicant should summarise those degradation products observed during stability studies of the veterinary medicinal product. This summary should be based on sound scientific appraisal of potential degradation pathways in the veterinary medicinal product and impurities arising from the interaction

with excipients and/or the immediate container/closure system. In addition, the applicant should summarise any laboratory studies conducted to detect degradation products in the veterinary medicinal product. This summary should include test results of batches manufactured during the development process and batches representative of the proposed commercial process. A rationale should be provided for exclusion of those impurities which are not degradation products, e.g., process impurities from the active substance and excipients and their related impurities. The impurity profile of the batches representative of the proposed commercial process should be compared with the profiles of batches used in development and any differences discussed.

Degradation products observed in stability studies conducted at recommended storage conditions should be identified when the identification threshold of 1.0% are equalled or exceeded. When identification of a degradation product is not feasible, a summary of the laboratory studies demonstrating the unsuccessful effort should be included in the application for marketing authorisation.

Degradation products below the indicated level generally would not need to be identified. However, identification should be attempted for those degradation products that are suspected to be unusually potent, producing toxic or significant pharmacologic effects at levels lower than indicated.

### **2.3 Reporting Impurity Content of Batches**

Analytical results should be provided in tabular format for all relevant batches of new medicinal product used for clinical, safety and stability testing, as well as batches which are representative of the proposed commercial process. Because the degradation test procedure can be an important support tool for monitoring the manufacturing quality as well as for deciding the expiration dating period of the product, the reporting level should be set below the identification threshold. The recommended target value for the reporting threshold (expressed as a percentage of the active substance) is 0.3%. A higher reporting threshold should only be proposed, with justification, if the target reporting threshold cannot be achieved.

In addition, where an analytical method reveals the presence of impurities in addition to the degradation products (e.g., impurities arising from the synthesis of the active substance) the origin of these impurities should be discussed. Chromatograms, or equivalent data (if other methods are used), from representative batches including long-term and accelerated stability conditions should be provided. The procedure should be capable of quantifying at least at the reporting threshold and the chromatograms should show the location of the observed degradation products and impurities from the new active substance.

The following information should be provided:

- Batch identity, strength and size
- Date of manufacture
- Site of manufacture
- Manufacturing process, where applicable
- Immediate container/closure
- Degradation product content, individual and total
- Use of batch
- Reference to analytical procedure(s) used
- Batch number of the drug substance used in the drug product
- Storage conditions

### **2.4 Specification Limits for Impurities**

The specifications for a new veterinary medicinal product should include limits for degradation products expected to occur under recommended storage conditions. Stability studies, knowledge of degradation pathways, product development studies and laboratory studies should be used to characterise the degradation profile. Specifications should be set taking into account the qualification of the degradation products, the stability data, the expected expiry period, and the recommended storage conditions for the product, allowing sufficient latitude to deal with normal manufacturing, analytical and stability profile variation. The specification for the product should include, where applicable, limits for:

- Each specified degradation product
- Any unspecified degradation product not more than 1.0%.
- Total degradation products.

Although some variation is expected, significant variation in batch to batch degradation profiles may indicate that the manufacturing process of the new veterinary medicinal product is not adequately controlled and validated. A rationale for the inclusion or exclusion of impurities in the specifications should be presented. This rationale should include a discussion of the impurity profiles observed in the safety and clinical studies, together with a consideration of the impurity profile of the product manufactured by the proposed commercial process.

## 2.5 Qualification of Impurities

Qualification is the process of acquiring and evaluating data which establishes the biological safety of an individual degradation product or a given degradation profile at the level(s) specified. The applicant should provide a rationale for selecting degradation product limits based on safety considerations. The level of any degradation product present in a new veterinary medicinal product which has been adequately tested and found safe in safety and / or clinical studies is considered qualified. Therefore, it is useful to include any available information on the actual content of degradation products in the relevant batches at the time of use in safety and / or clinical studies. Degradation products which are also significant metabolites, present in animal and/or human studies, do not need further qualification. It may be possible to justify a higher level of a degradation product than the level administered in safety studies. The justification should include consideration of factors such as: the amount of degradation product administered in previous safety and / or clinical studies and found to be safe; the percentage change in the degradation product; and, other safety factors as appropriate.

If data is not available to qualify the proposed specification level of a degradation product, studies to obtain such data may be needed (see ATTACHMENT II decision tree) when the usual qualification thresholds of 1.0 % is equalled or exceeded. Higher or lower thresholds for qualification of degradation products may be appropriate for some individual products based on scientific rationale and level of concern, including drug class effects and clinical experience. For example, qualification may be especially important when there is evidence that such degradation products in certain veterinary medicinal products or therapeutic classes have previously been associated with adverse reactions in animals and/or humans. In these instances, a lower qualification threshold may be appropriate. Conversely, a higher qualification threshold may be appropriate for individual products when the level of concern for safety is less than usual based on similar considerations (e.g., animal species, drug class effects, and clinical considerations). In unusual circumstances, technical factors (e.g., manufacturing capability, a low active substance to excipient ratio, or the use of excipients that are also crude products of animal or plant origin) may be considered as part of the justification for selection of alternative thresholds. Proposals for alternative thresholds would be considered on a case-by-case basis.

The "Decision Tree for Safety Studies" (See Guideline for Impurities in New Veterinary Drug Substances and ATTACHMENT II ) describes considerations for the qualification of impurities when the threshold of 1.0% is equalled or exceeded. Alternatively, if data are available in the scientific literature then such data may be submitted for consideration to qualify a degradation product. If neither is the case, additional safety testing should be considered. The studies desired to qualify a degradation product will depend on a number of factors, including the animal species, daily dose, route and duration of product administration. Such studies should normally be conducted on the product or substance containing the degradation products to be controlled, although studies using isolated degradation products are considered acceptable.

## 2.6 New Impurities

During the course of drug development studies, the qualitative degradation profile of a new veterinary medicinal product may change resulting in new degradation products which exceed the identification

and / or qualification threshold. In this event, these new degradation products should be identified and/or qualified. Such changes call for consideration of the need for qualification of the level of the impurity unless it is below the threshold values of 1.0 %.

When a new degradation product equals or exceeds the threshold, the "Decision Tree for Safety Studies" should be consulted. Safety studies should provide a comparison of results of safety testing of the product or substance containing a representative level of the degradation product with previously qualified material, although studies using the isolated degradation products are also considered acceptable (these studies may not always have clinical significance).

### 3. GLOSSARY

Degradation Product: A molecule resulting from a chemical change in the substance brought about over time and/or by the action of, e. g., light, temperature, pH, or water or by reaction with an excipient and/or the immediate container/closure system (also called decomposition product).

Degradation Profile: A description of the degradation products observed in the active substance or medicinal product.

Development Studies: Studies conducted to scale-up, optimise and validate the manufacturing process for a medicinal product.

Identified Impurity: An impurity for which a structural characterisation has been achieved.

Impurity: Any component of the medicinal product which is not the chemical entity defined as the active substance or an excipient in the product.

Impurity Profile: A description of the identified and unidentified impurities present in a medicinal product.

New Active Substance: The designated therapeutic moiety which has not been previously registered in a member state (also referred to as a new molecular entity or new chemical entity). It may be a complex, simple ester, or salt of a previously approved substance.

Potential Degradation Product: An impurity which, from theoretical considerations, may arise during or after manufacture or storage of the medicinal product. It may or may not actually appear in the substance or product.

Qualification: The process of acquiring and evaluating data which establishes the biological safety of an individual impurity or a given impurity profile at the level(s) specified.

Reaction Product: Product arising from the reaction of a substance with an excipient in the medicinal product or immediate container/closure system.

Safety Information: The body of information that establishes the biological safety of an individual impurity or a given impurity profile at the level(s) specified.

Specified Degradation Product: Identified or unidentified degradation product that is selected for inclusion in the new medicinal product specifications and is individually listed and limited in order to assure the safety and quality of the new medicinal product.

Toxic Impurity: An impurity having significant undesirable biological activity.

Unidentified Degradation Product: An impurity which is defined solely by qualitative analytical properties, e.g., chromatographic retention time.

Unspecified Degradation Product: A degradation product which is not recurring from batch to batch.


**10. ATTACHMENT I: SUMMARY OF THE DIFFERENT THRESHOLDS**

**Identification: 1.0%**

**Reporting: 0.3%**

**Qualification: 1.0%**

## 11. ATTACHMENT II: DECISION TREE FOR SAFETY STUDIES



a If considered desirable, a minimum screen e.g., genotoxic potential, should be conducted. A study to detect point mutations and one to detect chromosomal aberrations, both in vitro, are seen as an acceptable minimum screen, as discussed in the ICH-Guidelines: "Specific Aspects of Regulatory Genotoxicity Tests" and "Genotoxicity: Definition of Core Battery Tests".

b If general toxicity studies are desirable, study(ies) should be designed to allow comparison of unqualified to qualified material. The study duration should be based on available relevant information and performed in the species most likely to maximise the potential to detect the toxicity of an impurity. In general, a minimum duration of 14 days and a maximum duration of 90 days would be acceptable.

c On a case by case basis, single dose studies may be acceptable, especially for single-dose drugs, and when such studies are conducted using an isolated impurity. If repeat-dose studies are desirable, a maximum duration of 90 days would be acceptable.